

Ethical Challenges in the Criminal Justice System: A Critical Analysis of Justice, Accountability, and Human Rights

Gloward E.D Baysah & Cllr. Charles Karmo

Department of Criminal Justice, Adventist University of West Africa School of Graduate and Professional Studies

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100400141>

Received: 09 April 2026; Accepted: 14 April 2026; Published: 30 April 2026

ABSTRACT

Ethics play a fundamental role in the functioning of the criminal justice system, as decisions made by criminal justice actors directly affect individual rights, public trust, and the maintenance of societal order. This article critically examines the ethical challenges within the criminal justice system, with a particular focus on achieving a balance between justice, accountability, and the protection of human rights. It explores key ethical frameworks, including utilitarianism, deontological ethics, and virtue ethics, highlighting how these theories inform decision-making and professional conduct in criminal justice practice.

The study further analyzes the complex ethical dilemmas faced by various actors within the system, including law enforcement officers, prosecutors, judges, and correctional officials. These dilemmas often arise in situations involving discretion, use of force, prosecutorial judgment, sentencing, and the treatment of offenders. The article demonstrates how unethical practices—such as abuse of authority, corruption, discrimination, excessive use of force, and violations of due process—can significantly undermine the legitimacy and credibility of the justice system.

Additionally, the paper emphasizes the consequences of ethical failures, including wrongful convictions, erosion of public confidence, and systemic injustice. It argues that maintaining high ethical standards is essential for ensuring fairness, transparency, and respect for the rule of law. The article concludes by offering practical recommendations aimed at strengthening ethical decision-making, enhancing accountability mechanisms, promoting professional integrity, and ensuring compliance with human rights standards across all levels of the criminal justice system.

Keywords: criminal justice ethics, accountability, human rights, justice, ethical dilemmas

INTRODUCTION

The criminal justice system is entrusted with maintaining law and order, protecting society, and ensuring justice for all individuals. This responsibility imposes significant ethical obligations on key actors, including law enforcement officers, prosecutors, judges, and correctional officials, whose decisions have far-reaching consequences on individuals and communities. Ethical conduct is essential not only for ensuring fairness and impartiality in the administration of justice but also for sustaining public trust and confidence in legal institutions.

When ethical standards are compromised, the consequences can be severe and far-reaching, including wrongful convictions, abuse of power, discrimination, and violations of fundamental human rights. Ethics in criminal justice refers to the moral principles that guide professional behavior, emphasizing fairness, accountability, integrity, and respect for human dignity. These principles serve as the foundation for responsible decision-making and help ensure that justice is administered in a manner consistent with the rule of law.

However, criminal justice professionals frequently encounter complex ethical dilemmas in the course of their duties. For instance, they must often balance the need to ensure public safety with the obligation to protect individual rights and freedoms. Situations involving the use of force, prosecutorial discretion, and sentencing

decisions often present difficult moral choices. Ethical failures—such as police brutality, corruption, and systemic discrimination—highlight the ongoing tension between effective law enforcement and the protection of human rights.

This article critically examines these ethical challenges and explores their implications for justice and accountability. It further proposes practical reforms aimed at strengthening ethical standards, improving oversight mechanisms, and promoting greater accountability and professionalism within the criminal justice system.

Concept of Ethics

Ethics refers to the system of moral principles that guide human behavior by distinguishing right from wrong. In both personal and professional contexts, ethics establishes standards of conduct such as honesty, integrity, fairness, accountability, and respect for others (Belshaw et al., 2020). These principles shape how individuals make decisions, interact with others, and fulfill their responsibilities. Unlike the law, which prescribes minimum standards of acceptable behavior enforced through formal sanctions, ethics goes beyond legal compliance by promoting higher ideals of moral responsibility and professional excellence. Ethical standards are often informed by philosophical traditions such as utilitarianism, which emphasizes outcomes; deontological ethics, which focuses on duties and rules; and virtue ethics, which highlights character and moral virtues. In professional settings, ethical frameworks are codified in codes of conduct that guide behavior and decision-making. Adherence to ethical principles is essential for fostering trust, credibility, and legitimacy in institutions. Where ethics is absent or weak, individuals and organizations are more likely to engage in misconduct, abuse of power, and practices that undermine justice and social order.

Criminal Justice Ethics

Criminal justice ethics involves the application of moral principles to the policies, practices, and decision-making processes within the criminal justice system. It requires professionals—including police officers, prosecutors, judges, and correctional officials—to act not only within the bounds of the law but also in ways that are fair, impartial, and respectful of human rights (Banks, 2024). Ethical conduct in criminal justice is critical because these actors exercise significant authority, including the power to arrest, prosecute, sentence, and detain individuals. Such power must be guided by integrity, accountability, and a commitment to justice. Criminal justice ethics emphasizes values such as due process, equality before the law, proportionality in punishment, and respect for human dignity. It also requires transparency in decision-making and a willingness to be held accountable for actions. When ethical standards are upheld, the justice system gains public trust and legitimacy. Conversely, unethical practices—such as corruption, discrimination, or excessive use of force—erode confidence in institutions and may lead to injustice and human rights violations.

Ethical Decision-Making

Ethical decision-making refers to the process of evaluating and choosing actions based on moral principles, professional standards, and the likely consequences of those actions. In the criminal justice context, this process is especially important because decisions often have profound implications for individual liberty, public safety, and societal trust. Ethical decision-making involves identifying the ethical issues in a situation, considering relevant laws and professional codes, evaluating alternative courses of action, and selecting the option that best aligns with principles such as fairness, justice, and respect for human rights. It also requires critical thinking, moral awareness, and the ability to resist external pressures, such as political influence or organizational culture, that may encourage unethical behavior. Weak or flawed ethical judgment can increase the risk of misconduct, including abuse of authority, wrongful convictions, and violations of due process. Therefore, training, clear guidelines, and strong leadership are essential to support ethical decision-making. Promoting a culture of integrity within criminal justice institutions helps ensure that professionals consistently act in ways that uphold justice and protect human rights.

Utilitarianism

Utilitarianism is an ethical theory that evaluates the morality of actions based on their consequences, with the central aim of achieving the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Associated with philosophers Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill, this approach emphasizes outcomes such as overall happiness, safety, and social welfare. In the context of criminal justice, utilitarianism often underpins policies designed to maximize public safety, including deterrence strategies, predictive policing, and surveillance systems. For example, harsher penalties or increased policing may be justified if they are believed to reduce crime rates and protect the majority of citizens. However, utilitarianism has significant limitations. Its focus on collective outcomes can sometimes justify the infringement of individual rights, particularly when the rights of minorities are sacrificed for perceived societal benefits. Critics argue that this approach can lead to discriminatory practices, such as biased risk assessment tools or disproportionate targeting of marginalized communities (Chouldechova, 2017). Therefore, while utilitarianism provides valuable insights into policy effectiveness and crime prevention, it must be carefully balanced with safeguards that protect individual rights and prevent injustice.

Deontological Ethics

Deontological ethics, most notably associated with Immanuel Kant, is grounded in the idea that actions are morally right or wrong based on adherence to rules, duties, and principles, rather than their consequences. This ethical framework emphasizes respect for human dignity, autonomy, and inherent rights, asserting that individuals must never be treated merely as a means to an end. In the criminal justice system, deontological ethics plays a crucial role in upholding fundamental legal principles such as due process, equality before the law, and the presumption of innocence. It demands that justice actors follow established rules and procedures, regardless of the potential outcomes. For instance, practices such as torture, coerced confessions, or unlawful detention are categorically rejected under this framework, even if they might lead to faster or more effective crime control. Deontological ethics ensures that the pursuit of justice does not compromise moral integrity or violate human rights. However, strict adherence to rules can sometimes create challenges in situations where flexibility is needed. Despite this, it remains a cornerstone of ethical practice in criminal justice.

Virtue Ethics

Virtue ethics, rooted in the philosophy of Aristotle, focuses on the moral character and virtues of individuals rather than specific actions or consequences. It emphasizes qualities such as honesty, integrity, fairness, courage, and compassion, which are essential for ethical behavior. In the criminal justice system, virtue ethics highlights the importance of personal judgment and moral responsibility among professionals. Law enforcement officers, judges, and prosecutors are often required to make discretionary decisions in complex and uncertain situations where rules alone may not provide clear guidance. In such cases, the character and values of the decision-maker become critical. A virtuous criminal justice professional is expected to act with fairness, impartiality, and empathy, ensuring that decisions are not only lawful but also morally sound. Virtue ethics also underscores the importance of ethical leadership and organizational culture in shaping behavior. When institutions promote integrity and accountability, they foster ethical conduct among their members. However, reliance on personal virtue alone may lead to inconsistencies if not supported by clear standards and oversight mechanisms.

Relevance of Ethical Theories

Each of these ethical theories contributes uniquely to understanding and improving criminal justice practice. Utilitarianism provides a framework for evaluating policies based on their effectiveness in promoting public safety and reducing crime. Deontological ethics ensures that actions are guided by respect for legal rights, fairness, and moral duties, thereby protecting individuals from abuse and injustice. Virtue ethics, on the other hand, emphasizes the importance of moral character and professional integrity in decision-making. Together, these perspectives offer a comprehensive approach to ethical analysis. However, no single theory is sufficient on its own. Overreliance on utilitarianism may lead to rights violations, while strict deontology may lack flexibility, and virtue ethics may depend too heavily on individual character. Therefore, a balanced and integrated approach is necessary. By combining outcome-based reasoning, respect for rules and rights, and the cultivation

of moral character, criminal justice systems can better navigate ethical dilemmas and promote justice, accountability, and human dignity.

Ethical Challenges in Law Enforcement

- **Use of Force:** The use of force remains one of the most sensitive ethical issues in law enforcement. While officers are sometimes required to use force to maintain order and protect lives, excessive or unjustified force constitutes a serious violation of human rights. Ethical policing demands that force be applied only when necessary and in proportion to the threat faced. Failure to adhere to these principles can result in injury, loss of life, and erosion of public trust (Ford, 2022).
- **Police Discretion:** Police discretion allows officers to make decisions based on situational judgment, such as whether to arrest, warn, or release a suspect. Although this flexibility is essential for effective policing, it can also open the door to bias, favoritism, and discrimination. Without clear guidelines and oversight, discretion may be influenced by personal prejudices or external pressures, leading to unequal treatment under the law.
- **Corruption and Misconduct:** Corruption, including bribery, extortion, and falsification of evidence, poses a serious threat to the integrity of the criminal justice system. Such misconduct not only obstructs justice but also damages the credibility and legitimacy of law enforcement agencies (Roberson & Mire, 2009). It can lead to wrongful convictions or allow guilty individuals to evade accountability.
- **Accountability:** Accountability is essential to ensure that law enforcement officers act within ethical and legal boundaries. Weak oversight mechanisms, lack of transparency, and inadequate disciplinary procedures can foster a culture of impunity. Strengthening internal and external accountability systems, including independent review bodies, is crucial for promoting ethical conduct and maintaining public confidence.

Ethics in Prosecution and the Judiciary

- **Prosecutorial Ethics:** Prosecutors hold significant power within the criminal justice system and are ethically obligated to pursue justice rather than simply secure convictions. This responsibility requires fairness, objectivity, and respect for the rights of the accused. However, ethical violations such as withholding exculpatory evidence, presenting misleading arguments, or engaging in selective prosecution can lead to wrongful convictions and serious miscarriages of justice (Karakatsanis, 2019). Upholding ethical standards ensures that prosecutions contribute to truth and justice rather than injustice.
- **Judicial Ethics:** Judges are expected to act as neutral arbiters who interpret and apply the law impartially. Their ethical duties include independence, integrity, and fairness in decision-making. Any form of corruption, bias, or external influence compromises judicial impartiality and weakens public confidence in the legal system. Ethical lapses in the judiciary can distort outcomes, deny justice, and undermine the rule of law, making strict adherence to judicial ethics essential.
- **Fair Trial and Due Process:** The principles of fair trial and due process are fundamental to justice systems worldwide. They ensure that individuals are treated fairly, given the opportunity to defend themselves, and judged based on evidence and lawful procedures. Violations such as coerced confessions, denial of legal representation, or undue delays not only breach legal standards but also represent serious ethical failures. Protecting due process is therefore critical for maintaining justice, legitimacy, and respect for human rights.

Ethics in Corrections

- **Treatment of Prisoners:** Individuals in correctional facilities retain fundamental human rights despite being deprived of liberty. Ethical standards require that prisoners be treated with dignity, respect, and humanity. However, poor living conditions, overcrowding, inadequate healthcare, and physical or psychological abuse are common ethical concerns in many correctional systems. Such practices violate both ethical principles and human rights standards, undermining the legitimacy of the correctional system. Ensuring humane treatment is essential for justice and rehabilitation.

• **Punishment vs Rehabilitation:** A key ethical issue in corrections is the balance between punishment and rehabilitation. While punishment serves as a deterrent and a form of accountability, ethical approaches increasingly emphasize rehabilitation, reintegration, and reducing recidivism (Feeley, 1992). Focusing solely on punitive measures can perpetuate cycles of crime, whereas rehabilitation supports offenders in becoming productive members of society. Ethical correctional systems strive to balance these goals effectively.

• **Accountability:** Correctional staff wield considerable authority over inmates, making accountability essential. Without proper oversight, there is a high risk of abuse, corruption, and neglect. Strong accountability mechanisms, including internal monitoring, independent inspections, and clear disciplinary procedures, are necessary to ensure ethical conduct and protect inmates' rights. Promoting transparency and responsibility helps maintain integrity within correctional institutions.

7. Human Rights and Criminal Justice Ethics

• **Interconnection:** Human rights and criminal justice ethics are deeply interconnected, as ethical principles in the justice system are grounded in the protection and promotion of fundamental human rights. Respect for dignity, fairness, and equality forms the basis of ethical decision-making in criminal justice institutions.

• **Due Process:** Due process is a cornerstone of both human rights and ethical criminal justice practice. It ensures that individuals receive fair treatment under the law, including the right to a fair hearing, legal representation, and an impartial tribunal. Violations of due process undermine justice and erode public confidence in the system.

• **Protection Against Torture:** The prohibition of torture is absolute under both ethical standards and international human rights law. Torture and other forms of cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment are never justified, regardless of circumstances. Upholding this principle is essential for maintaining moral integrity and respect for human dignity.

• **Equality and Non-Discrimination:** Ethical criminal justice systems must ensure that all individuals are treated equally, without discrimination based on race, gender, ethnicity, or socioeconomic status. Bias and discriminatory practices not only violate ethical standards but also perpetuate injustice and inequality within society.

• **International Standards:** International human rights frameworks provide important guidance for ethical criminal justice practices. Instruments such as global human rights conventions establish standards for fairness, accountability, and humane treatment. Adhering to these standards helps ensure consistency, protect individual rights, and promote justice on a global scale.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Strengthen ethics education and training
- Enhance accountability and oversight
- Promote transparency and integrity
- Reform policing practices
- Ensure judicial independence
- Improve prison conditions
- Integrate human rights into policy
- Foster ethical institutional culture

CONCLUSION

Ethical conduct is fundamental to the legitimacy, effectiveness, and credibility of the criminal justice system. Every decision made by law enforcement officers, prosecutors, judges, and correctional officials has significant consequences for individuals and society as a whole. When ethical standards are upheld, the system promotes fairness, protects human rights, and maintains public confidence. However, persistent challenges such as abuse of power, corruption, discrimination, and violations of due process continue to undermine justice and weaken institutional trust. These issues not only harm individuals but also erode the moral authority of the justice system.

Addressing these challenges requires a balanced application of ethical theories, including utilitarianism, deontological ethics, and virtue ethics. Each provides valuable guidance for decision-making, from promoting public safety to safeguarding individual rights and encouraging moral integrity among professionals. Equally important is the establishment of strong accountability mechanisms, transparency, and effective oversight to prevent misconduct and ensure that justice actors are held responsible for their actions.

Ethical reform is therefore not optional but essential. Continuous training, clear ethical guidelines, and institutional commitment to integrity are necessary to strengthen ethical conduct across all levels of the criminal justice system. Ultimately, a justice system grounded in ethical principles is better equipped to deliver fairness, uphold human dignity, and ensure lasting public trust.

REFERENCES

1. Albanese, J. S. (2015). *Professional ethics in criminal justice* (4th ed.). Pearson.
2. Banks, C. (2024). *Criminal justice ethics: Theory and practice* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
3. Belshaw, S. H., Johnstone, P., & DeBoer, L. (2020). *Ethics in the criminal justice system* (2nd ed.). Kendall Hunt Publishing.
4. Berk, R., et al. (2017). Fairness in criminal justice risk assessments. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.09207>
5. Chouldechova, A. (2017). Fair prediction with disparate impact. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00056>
6. Feeley, M. M. (1992). *The process is the punishment*. Russell Sage Foundation.
7. Ford, S. B. (2022). Restraining police use of lethal force. *Criminal Justice Ethics*, 41(1), 1–20.
8. Karakatsanis, A. (2019). *Usual cruelty*. The New Press.
9. Kleinig, J. (2015). *Criminal justice ethics*. Oxford University Press.
10. McCartney, S., & Parent, R. (2015). *Ethics in law enforcement*. B Ccampus.
11. Roberson, C., & Mire, S. (2009). *Ethics for criminal justice professionals*. Routledge.
12. SAGE Publications. (2025). *Encyclopedia of criminal justice ethics*. Sage Publications.